

Design Notes v. [1.4]-{1.72} {03}

Paris (s.) Miles-Brenden

General Setting

It is hoped that by tuning the 'clock to diminish in period' a certain *static pattern can be set up. Among designs, and of what is noted here, there is a *particular relationship to do with $\tan(\delta)$ and the variety of modes ($\sin(\delta)$?) of which set up internal reflection for the various modes, or – alternatively; a switchable interference. This should be the goal with the computer, whereas with the equipment, the goal should be the 'region and analog form' of-slits furnishing quantum (n) and (n+1) behaviors.

If this 'sine' in acoustic mode and optical mode somehow behave differently, it is hypothesized all features become more easily accessible, or possible to begin with. I decided to take the $\wp$ and P, for these as 'non-linear' and 'linear' terms and utilize *them* in a theorem of circuit comparative to innovate an **entirely-new method** beyond line-like analysis. **This is my Goal.**

My second goal: I determined that the differential equations carry in some sense good and bad reduction, given the variety of algebraic expressions that result from coefficient terms and non-coefficient terms, and that these model flows that can connect discrete (in my setting) and non-discrete data of the signal to the lumped element analysis, then therefrom the network, and it's topology and graph.

Third, there is a way [then] to utilize the program like a kind of battleship game, for which the players are random people, people who know each other, and of which is in some sense like a *massive* game of **Go**, on* [**Chess**], and of which in related specifics yields coordinate relation in an autonomy of player and social construct and arena, for particular configurations of real world ***Chess** or ***Go** and virtual static region.

These ideas mildly relate of the quantum computational revolution, act as a social bridge, are entertaining, and perfect strategy. In a different scheme they perfect the notion of treatment of patients in relation to 'colloquialism' and 'birefringent dichotomy' when numerical relations relate of symptomology, for symptomatic relations become studies of optics, seismology, and econometrics.

To solve this problem, via the 'net' – and it has or has not been resolved to strict means; I have found I need to solve the problem of indirect relationships, which hold(s) a rule of thumb (valid); a form of distinct judgable condition with chess, etc, and of-go; but does not hold a specific rule for the net, of which I (had) in my ignorance of a minor qualifier believed would be 'automatically' solved by the implementation of the equipment.

- 1.) The problem (dependent) is counted among in one-row and one-column by my code* and by my equipment, but only up-to 0-1. (Babbage).
 - a.) This brings to light the question of de'Morgan and Boolean logic, and as to the best way to encode 0-1 but* get(s) a complete pass. (Darwinian).
- 2.) The remainder of -7 on-step and (+/-) and the results of deRahm cohomology, 'orbit' and of the question of '2' can be implemented. (Mendelian).

Then, I had an entirely-new-thought on the equipment and chessboard design. This has nothing to do with feedback, but it does* have to do with noisy models.

I thought of a point-like sparse to dense volume and surface, with volumetric (at least two) manifold degrees; and the *synthesis and decoding of the data of the pieces, differential equations, and algebraic geometric equations. The problem of 'order' came from *the equipment, as I thought of making the most of what I had available*. This is the TMS320F28379D and not the LAUNCHXL-F28379D. I thought of the Ethernet, and decided to utilize a new model in-searching than a cable.

This involves the reduction from incomplete data of partial reconstruction of some-modes, and essentially, is motivated to have the board located elsewhere than the disc and equipment, as to a *table*. Algebraic geometry affords for certain simplifications, one of which is the following:

- 1.) Any p-adic (non-transinfinite) domain of module in divisor two, contains at least one (and only one) picard, point, plane, volume, or domain, and apex.
- 2.) Therefore, it hold(s) 2x0.1b translate over one domain by of differential to given geometric space, per a solution with ansatz & eigenmode of set theory.

Then, I thought of a comment on the superposition of-modes and dark and light. I am not capable at this juncture of dark and light modes, but of superposition, that is entirely potentiated, and will take the differential equation for it's variety of high level Keck cohomology, to resolve the discrepancy to at least two leaves.